# The Vinberg algorithm for Lorentzian lattices: Algorithmic aspects

Mathieu Dutour Sikiric

Institute Rudjer Boskovic

I. Coxeter groups of Lorentzian lattices

#### Lorentzian lattices and their roots

- A Lorentzian lattice is a lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  with an integer quadratic form G of signature  $(n-1, 1)$ .
- $\triangleright$  Note: The convention in algebraic geometry is to take signature  $(1, n-1)$ .
- A root of a Lorentzian lattice is a vector  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $G[v] = k$  such that the reflection along this root defines an unimodular integral transformation.
- $\blacktriangleright$  In term of the quadratic form this is equivalent to

$$
G[v] = k \text{ and } 2Gv/k \in \mathbb{Z}^n
$$

 $\triangleright$  There are Lorentzian lattices without roots (by Gael Collinet):

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\n0 & 0 & 49 \\
0 & 49 & 7 \\
49 & 7 & 3\n\end{array}\right)
$$

# Hyperbolic Coxeter groups

- $\triangleright$  The hyperbolic Coxeter group  $Cox(L)$  of a Lorentzian lattice L is the group generated by hyperbolic reflections of L.
- Define  $H^{n-1}$  the hyperbolic space formed by one component of  $\{x \text{ s.t. } q(x) < 0\}.$
- ►  $Cox(L)$  has a fundamental domain  $Fund(L)$  in  $H^{n-1}$ .
- $\triangleright$  Classical example of the  $(2, 3, 7)$  triangle group (though not a Lorentzian lattice):



# Reflectivity and relation to K3 surfaces

- $\triangleright$  For a Lorentzian lattice L,  $Cox(L)$  is a normal subgroup of the group of isometries  $Isom(L)$  of L.
- A Lorentzian lattice is reflective if  $Cox(L)$  is a finite index subgroup of  $Isom(L)$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  For K3 surfaces, the Picard group has a structure of a Lorentzian lattice and the automorphism group of the surface is isomorphic to the quotient  $Isom(L)/Cox(L)$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  The group  $Isom(L)/Cox(L)$  is represented as a group of isometries preserving  $Find(L)$ .
- $\triangleright$  A Lorentzian lattice is reflective if and only if  $Fund(L)$  has finite covolume.

## Fundamental domain

- $\triangleright$  A fundamental domain D is determined by a number of roots  $(r_1, \ldots, r_N)$  with N possibly infinite.
- **►** The Coxeter matrix of scalar product is  $(a_{ii})_{1\le i,j\le N}$  with  $a_{ij} = r_i^T G r_j.$
- ► We have  $r_i^T G r_j \leq 0$ .
- The fundamental domain is defined by  $r_i^T Gx \leq 0$ . The vertices of the fundamental domain allow to determine many properties:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Whether the fundamental domain determines a cocompact hyperbolic group. This corresponds to all extreme rays  $e = \mathbb{R}_+v$  having  $G[v] < 0$ .
	- $\triangleright$  Whether the fundamental domain determines a finite covolume hyperbolic group. This corresponds to all extreme rays  $e = \mathbb{R}_+$ v having  $G[v] \leq 0$ .

# Subdiagrams of a hyperbolic Coxeter diagram

- $\triangleright$  A subdiagram is a collection of vertices of the diagram that defines a face of the fundamental domain.
- The vertices that have  $G[e] < 0$  (resp.  $G[e] \le 0$ ) correspond to spherical (resp. Euclidean) subdiagrams of the diagram.
- $\triangleright$  This implies that interior vertices have all the same incidence to the facets.
- $\triangleright$  The software CoxIter can determine all subdiagrams of a given Coxeter matrix and decide several properties like finite covolume of cocompact accordingly.

II. The Vinberg algorithm

## Possible root lengths

- $\blacktriangleright$  For a Lorentzian lattice of Gram matrix G.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Define the adjoint matrix coadj(G) and the greatest common divisor of the coefficient.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Define  $E(G)$  to be

$$
E(G) = \frac{|det(G)|}{gcd(coadj(G))}
$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  The possible root lengths must divide 2E(G).
- $\blacktriangleright$  This is a necessary, but not a sufficient condition.
- For example for  $U + 2E_8 + \langle 2 \rangle$  this gives 1, 2 and 4. 1 can be excluded by evenness. It turns out that 4 does not show up when the computation is finished.
- $\triangleright$  Outcome: We can easily compute the set of possible root lengths.

# Vinberg algorithm

- $\blacktriangleright$  The algorithm allows to find a fundamental domain of an hyperbolic Lorentzian lattice.
- It requires the choice of a vector  $v_0$  of negative norm. We define  $H = v_0^{\perp}$  the orthogonal space to the vector  $v_0$ . It is positive definite for the scalar product induced by G.
- $\triangleright$  We first look at the roots in the space H and determine a connected component of the hyperplane arrangement.
- The lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is an union of translates of H:  $\mathbb{Z}^n = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (i\omega + H)$  for some vector  $\omega$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  The idea is to iterate over *i* and to find roots over the space  $iw + H$ .

It is not really an algorithm, since if the lattice is not reflective, then the number of facets is infinite and so it never terminates.

# Schematic of the algorithm



## Fincke-Pohst algorithm

- $\blacktriangleright$  It is an algorithm that allows to determine the integer points of an ellipsoid.
- It works with backtracking, so do not use memory. The principle is to write the quadratic form as

$$
q(x) = a_{11}(x_1 + \sum_{j>1} b_{j1}x_j)^2 + a_{22}(x_2 + \sum_{j>2} b_{j2}x_j)^2 + \cdots + a_{nn}x_n^2
$$

with  $a_{ii} > 0$ 

- For resolving the equation  $q(x) = k$  what we have is  $a_{nn}x_n^2 \le k$  which give us a set of possibilities for  $x_n$ .
- $\triangleright$  For each such possibility we consider it and are led to  $a_{n-1,n-1}(x_{n-1}+b_{n-1,n}x_n)^2 \leq k-a_{nn}x_n^2$  and so a number of possibilities for  $x_{n-1}$ .

For q positive definite, this allows to solve  $q(x) = k$  but also  $q(x - c) = k$ .

## Testing finite covolume of a domain

- $\triangleright$  Vinberg gave a characterization of the finite covolume fundamental domains.
- $\triangleright$  The formulation depends on the enumeration of rank  $n-1$ and  $n - 2$ . There is also an adjacency condition to check.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The problem is that enumerating the subdiagram is done by exhaustive enumeration of the subdiagrams.
- In terms of polytope geometry, this is actually equivalent to enumerating all the cells of the polytope, not just the ones of maximal rank.
- $\blacktriangleright$  This is typically a bad idea since in terms of polytope geometry we have for the n-dimensional simplex a number of cells of the form  $\binom{n}{k}$  $\binom{n}{k}$ . So, exponential in the middle dimension but linear at the extremes.
- $\triangleright$  We can avoid storing the full list of subdiagrams and instead pass over it by a tree search (named "Orderly enumeration").

III. Improving the Vinberg algorithm

#### Reducing the root lattice H

- The condition on roots is  $2Gv/k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .
- In Thus it is suboptimal to enumerate the solutions of  $G[v] = k$ for  $v \in iw + H$  and then filter out by the condition  $2Gv/k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .
- A better idea is to write the condition as  $(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  with the condition  $2Gv = kw$ . We find the nullspace and this allows to find a smaller sublattice.
- For  $k = 1$  or  $k = 2$  this does not give us an improvement.
- In The slowest case are the case  $k = 1$  and 2.

## Improving the Fincke-Pohst algorithm

- If we have the known roots  $(r_1, \ldots, r_N)$  we have the inequalities  $r_i$  Gr  $\leq 0$  for an additional root r. This define a polyhedral cone.
- $\triangleright$  We can use those inequalities to improve the enumeration of the point in the ellipsoid.
- If the polytope is defined by equations  $f_k(x) \leq b_k$  and we have fixed say  $x_{i+1}, \ldots x_n$  then we are led to a simplified system
- $\blacktriangleright$   $g_k(x_1, \ldots, x_i) \leq b_k$  we can maximize  $x_i$  or minimize it by linear programming and this gets us better bounds for the Fincke-Pohst method.
- $\triangleright$  But we have to face the problem that doing linear programming at each step is an expensive operation to do. Possible ways to improve this by heuristics.

# Improving finite covolume test

- $\blacktriangleright$  The problem of the characterization by subdiagrams is that we are forced to enumerate all the subdiagrams of any rank of the fundamental domain.
- $\triangleright$  So. instead, a better approach is to enumerate all the vertices of the polytope from the facets.
- $\triangleright$  This is a dual-description problem. Still a subject of research, but much less hard than enumerating all the faces.
- If we have a vertex of positive norm, then we know it is not of finite covolume and we can terminate.
- $\triangleright$  This can be integrated to dual description enumeration codes, so as to stop the enumeration once a vertex of positive norm is found.

## Premature termination of Vinberg enumeration

- If a lattice is not reflective, then the enumeration of roots will go on without end.
- $\triangleright$  Vinberg found a way to terminate it by finding an infinite order automorphism.
- $\triangleright$  Such automorphism can be found by having pairs of adjacent interior vertices  $(v, v')$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  For pair of adjacent vertices, we find the list of facets which are normal to either of them. They form a space of dimension n. We find the transformations that maps pairs of vertices in the cone.
- $\triangleright$  We have to see which ones are of infinite order.

## Full implementation

- $\triangleright$  The code is written in  $C++$  and combines many different software capabilities.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The code is open source and I contribute daily to it.
- $\triangleright$  The docker code allows to install the code directly without the need for compilation.
- $\blacktriangleright$  It is based on code by Alexander Perepechko and Nikolay Bogachev.

The code is available on

[https://github.com/MathieuDutSik/polyhedral\\_common](https://github.com/MathieuDutSik/polyhedral_common) <https://hub.docker.com/r/mathieuds/polyhedralcpp>

PS: It is not a Vinberg specific code, it also has functionality for Dual description, canonical form of lattice/polytope, automorphism group of polytope, perfect forms, Delaunay polytope, copositive programming, shortest vector configuration, sparse solver, etc.

IV. The number ring case

## The number ring case

- Ne want to consider quadratic forms of signature  $(n-1,1)$ with something like  $q(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - \sqrt{2}x_3^2$
- $\triangleright$  Formally, the settings is the following:
	- $\triangleright$  We have a Galois group G acting on a ring R
	- $\triangleright$  We have a quadratic form q such that q is of signature  $(n-1,1)$  and for all  $\sigma \in \mathsf{G}-\{\mathsf{e}\}$  the form  $\mathsf{q}^{\sigma}$  is of signature  $(n, 0)$ .
- $\triangleright$  We still have the inequalities  $rGr' < 0$

#### The Fincke-Pohst algorithm

- $\blacktriangleright$  We have a set of equations  $q(x) = k$  and  $q^{\sigma}(x^{\sigma}) = k^{\sigma}$ .
- So, we write  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and each  $x_i$  is written as  $x_i = \sum \alpha_{i,j} u_j$  with  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_d\}$  a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $R$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  The formulation becomes a Fincke-Pohst like algorithm with inequalities of the form  $a_{nn}x_n^2 \leq k$  and  $a_{nn}^{\sigma}(x_n^{\sigma})^2 \leq k^{\sigma}$ .
- $\triangleright$  This means that we have to replace the intervals by a convex set of points.
- $\triangleright$  The code is implemented by Rémi Bottinelli and available at <https://github.com/bottine/VinbergsAlgorithmNF/>

V. The edge-walking algorithm (by Allcock)

## Limitations of the Vinberg algorithm

- $\triangleright$  When running the Vinberg algorithm we face the problem of having to solve many different batches
- In dimension 2 the root equation to solve is  $x^2 ay^2 = k$  and Vinberg algorithm is to simply iterate from  $x = 1$  to the one that we want. There are better solution method in Number theory as this is known as General Pell's equation.
- Note that for  $x^2 61y^2 = 1$  the smallest solution is (1766319049, 226153980) so iterating over the batches is going to be quite inefficient. For Pell's equation, we have the continuous fraction algorithm by Lagrange.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The Fincke-Pohst algorithm is intrinsically slow. There are some theoretical reasons to think it cannot be improved.
- $\triangleright$  The weakness of the Vinberg algorithm is that it does not use the polyhedral structure of the fundamental domain.

# The edge walking algorithm

- $\triangleright$  We first need to find one vertex of the Fundamental domain.
- $\blacktriangleright$  From each vertex, we can find the direction in which we can find other vertices.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Allcock has an algorithm for finding the adjacent vertex.
- $\triangleright$  So, by a graph traversal algorithm, we can iterate until all the vertices have been treated.
- It still has the same problem as Vinberg's algorithm. In the non-reflective case, it still runs forever.
- $\blacktriangleright$  This algorithm seems limited to the  $\mathbb Z$  case.

Not yet implemented.

# The edge walking algorithm, next generation

Another major weakness of the Vinberg algorithm is that it cannot use the symmetries because the vector  $v_0$  is arbitrary.

- $\triangleright$  We can keep track of the pairs of adjacent vertices.
- $\triangleright$  When we find a new pair, we can check for equivalence with the list of known pairs.
- If equivalent, then we have a generator of  $Isom(L)/Cox(L)$ and if not a new vertex.
- $\triangleright$  When the program terminates, we get as output
	- A generating set of  $Isom(L)/Cox(L)$
	- In List of orbit representatives of vertices of  $Fund(L)$
	- In List of orbit representatives of facets of  $Fund(L)$

Science fiction?